IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA ALEXANDRIA DIVISION

MEADWESTVACO CORPORATION)
a Delaware corporation)
and))
MEADWESTVACO CALMAR, INC. a Delaware corporation)))
DI :) C.A. NO. 1:10 CV 511
Plaintiffs,	
**) AMENDED COMPLAINT
V.)
REXAM PLC) (JURY TRIAL DEMANDED)
a United Kingdom company;)
)
REXAM BEAUTY AND CLOSURES INC.)
a Delaware Corporation;)
)
VALOIS S.A.S.)
a French company; and)
VALOICOE AMEDICA, INC.)
VALOIS OF AMERICA, INC.)
a Connecticut company.)
Defendants.)
	,

1. Plaintiffs MeadWestvaco Corporation ("MWV") and MeadWestvaco Calmar, Inc. ("MWV Calmar") (collectively "Plaintiffs"), by and through their attorneys, hereby demand a jury trial and complain of Rexam PLC ("Rexam"), Rexam Beauty and Closures Inc. ("Rexam Beauty"), Valois, S.A.S. ("Valois"), and Valois of America, Inc. ("Valois of America") (collectively "Defendants") as set forth below.

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 2. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, *et seq.* to enjoin and obtain damages resulting from Defendants' infringement of United States Patent Nos. 7,718,132 ("the '132 Patent") and 7,722,819 ("the '819 Patent"), both entitled "Fragrance Product, Dispenser, and Dispenser Assembly."
- 3. This action for patent infringement involves Defendants' manufacture, use, sale, offer for sale in, and/or importation into the United States of infringing fragrance products with invisible dip-tubes, dispenser assemblies with invisible dip-tubes, and components thereof, and Defendants' inducement of others to infringe the '132 Patent and the '819 Patent.

THE PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff MWV is incorporated under the laws of the state of Delaware and has its principal place of business located at 501 South 5th Street, Richmond, Virginia 23219.
- 5. Plaintiff MWV Calmar is a wholly owned subsidiary of Plaintiff MWV and is incorporated under the laws of the state of Delaware and has its principal place of business located at 11901 Grandview Road, Grandview, Missouri 64030.
- 6. Upon information and belief, Rexam is a United Kingdom company having a place of business at 4 Millbank, London SW1P 3XR.
- 7. Upon information and belief, Rexam Beauty is a Delaware corporation having a place of business at 4201 Congress Street, Suite 340, Charlotte, North Carolina, 28209.
- 8. Upon information and belief, Valois is a French company having a place of business at BPG Route du Prieuré, 27110 Le Neubourg France.

9. Upon information and belief, Valois of America is a Connecticut corporation having a place of business at 250 North Route 303, Congers, New York 10920-1408.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 10. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this patent infringement action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
- 11. Rexam is subject to personal jurisdiction in the State of Virginia because Rexam has committed acts causing direct infringement, contributory infringement, and/or inducement of infringement, of one or more of the claims of the '132 Patent and the '819 Patent in this judicial district and division.
- 12. Rexam Beauty is subject to personal jurisdiction in the State of Virginia because Rexam Beauty has committed acts causing direct infringement, contributory infringement, and/or inducement of infringement, of one or more of the claims of the '132 Patent and the '819 Patent in this judicial district and division.
- 13. Valois is subject to personal jurisdiction in the State of Virginia because Valois has committed acts causing direct infringement, contributory infringement, and/or inducement of infringement, of one or more of the claims of the '132 Patent and the '819 Patent in this judicial district and division.
- 14. Valois of America is subject to personal jurisdiction in the State of Virginia because Valois of America has committed acts causing direct infringement, contributory infringement, and/or inducement of infringement, of one or more of the claims of the '132 Patent and the '819 Patent in this judicial district and division.
- 15. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), 1391(c), and 1400(b) because the Defendants are subject to personal jurisdiction in this district, and

because Defendants have caused acts of infringement, contributory infringement, and/or inducement of infringement, to be committed in this district.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 16. The '132 Patent is directed to fragrance products having "invisible" dip tubes, and includes claims directed to assembled fragrance products and pump assemblies for use in assembled fragrance products.
- 17. The '132 Patent was duly and legally issued on May 18, 2010. A copy of the issued '132 Patent is attached as Exhibit A.
- 18. Plaintiff MWV is the lawful assignee of the '132 Patent.
- 19. The '819 Patent is directed to fragrance products having "invisible" dip tubes, and includes claims directed to assembled fragrance products and pump assemblies for use in assembled fragrance products.
- 20. The '819 Patent was duly and legally issued on May 25, 2010. A copy of the issued '819 Patent is attached as Exhibit B.
- 21. Plaintiff MWV is the lawful assignee of the '819 Patent.
- 22. Plaintiff MWV Calmar holds an exclusive license to practice the '132 Patent and the '819 Patent in the field of liquid dispenser systems for consumer fragrance packaging and is entitled to bring suit for damages according to the terms of that license.
- 23. By the acts described below, Rexam and Rexam Beauty have directly and indirectly infringed the '132 Patent, and have indirectly infringed the '819 Patent, to Plaintiff's irreparable injury.
- 24. By the acts described below, Valois and Valois of America have directly and indirectly infringed the '132 Patent, and have indirectly infringed the '819 Patent, to Plaintiff's irreparable injury.

COUNT I Infringement of United States Patent No. 7,718,132 by Rexam and Rexam Beauty

- 25. Paragraphs 1 through 24 are incorporated by reference as if fully restated herein.
- 26. Rexam and Rexam Beauty infringe the '132 Patent, directly and/or indirectly, in this District and elsewhere.
- 27. Upon information and belief, Rexam Beauty is Rexam's corporate affiliate and operates under Rexam's oversight and control, and Rexam and Rexam Beauty together and separately are in the business of making and/or selling consumer packaging, including closures for perfume bottles.
- 28. Upon information and belief, Rexam and Rexam Beauty market and make numerous pump products, including but not limited to the SP5, SP7 and SP8 "Crystal" pump systems, that satisfy each and every limitation of one or more claims of the '132 Patent ("the Rexam Infringing Pumps").
- 29. Upon information and belief, Rexam and Rexam Beauty, use, sell, offer to sell and import the Rexam Infringing Pumps in the United States via online advertising and direct contact with customers in the United States.
- 30. Upon information and belief, Rexam and Rexam Beauty sell and offer to sell the Rexam Infringing Pumps to numerous customers ("the Rexam Customers") that incorporate the Rexam Infringing Pumps into fragrance products ("the Rexam Infringing Fragrance Products") that are offered for sale at multiple retail locations in Richmond and McLean, Virginia. Non-limiting example of Rexam Infringing Fragrance Products include "A Scent by Issey Miyake". The Rexam Customers' manufacture, use, sale, and offers to sell the Rexam Infringing Fragrance Products infringe one or more claims of the '132 Patent.

- 31. Upon information and belief, the Rexam Infringing Pumps are especially made and adapted for use in the Rexam Infringing Fragrance Products, and are not staple articles of commodities of commerce suitable for substantial noninfringing use, and Rexam and Rexam Beauty are knowledgeable of this fact.
- 32. On October 9, 2007, legal counsel for Rexam Beauty mailed a letter to Plaintiff's patent prosecution counsel indicating his awareness of the application that issued as the '132 Patent. Accordingly, upon information and belief, Rexam and Rexam Beauty are knowledgeable of the '132 Patent.
- 33. Upon information and belief, since October 9, 2007 Rexam and Rexam Beauty have advised the Rexam Customers that Rexam and Rexam Beauty would indemnify them if it was determined that they were infringing the '132 Patent. Based upon this information and belief, Rexam and Rexam Beauty acted with the specific intent to induce Rexam Customers' direct infringement of one or more claims of the '132 Patent.
- 34. Upon information and belief, Rexam and Rexam Beauty participated in the Luxe Pack trade show on May 19th and 20th, 2010 in New York, New York, further offering for sale Rexam Infringing Pumps with invisible dip tubes, further inducing Rexam Customers' direct infringement of one or more claims of the '132 Patent.
- 35. Accordingly, Rexam and Rexam Beauty directly infringe, induce infringement and contribute to the infringement of the '132 Patent in this District and elsewhere, and such infringement is willful.

COUNT II Infringement of United States Patent No. 7,722,819 by Rexam and Rexam Beauty

36. Paragraphs 1 through 35 are incorporated by reference as if fully restated herein.

- 37. Rexam and Rexam Beauty indirectly infringe the '819 Patent in this District and elsewhere.
- 38. Upon information and belief, Rexam Beauty is Rexam's corporate affiliate and operates under Rexam's oversight and control, and Rexam and Rexam Beauty together and separately are in the business of making and/or selling consumer packaging, including closures for perfume bottles.
- 39. Upon information and belief, Rexam and Rexam Beauty, use, sell, offer to sell and import the Rexam Infringing Pumps in the United States via online advertising and direct contact with customers in the United States.
- 40. Upon information and belief, Rexam and Rexam Beauty sell and offer to sell the Rexam Infringing Pumps to the Rexam Customers that incorporate the Rexam Infringing Pumps into the Rexam Infringing Fragrance Products that are offered for sale at multiple retail locations in Richmond and McLean, Virginia. A non-limiting example of Rexam Infringing Fragrance Products include "A Scent by Issey Miyake". The Rexam Customers' manufacture, use, sale, and offers to sell the Rexam Infringing Fragrance Products infringe one or more claims of the '819 Patent.
- 41. Upon information and belief, the Rexam Infringing Pumps are especially made and adapted for use in the Rexam Infringing Fragrance Products, and are not staple articles of commodities of commerce suitable for substantial noninfringing use, and Rexam and Rexam Beauty are knowledgeable of this fact.
- 42. On October 9, 2007, legal counsel for Rexam Beauty mailed a letter to Plaintiff's patent prosecution counsel indicating his awareness of the application that issued as the

- '819 Patent. Accordingly, upon information and belief, Rexam and Rexam Beauty are knowledgeable of the '819 Patent.
- 43. Upon information and belief, since October 9, 2007 Rexam and Rexam Beauty have advised the Rexam Customers that Rexam and Rexam Beauty would indemnify them if it was determined that they were infringing the '819 Patent. Based upon this information and belief, Rexam and Rexam Beauty acted with the specific intent to induce Rexam Customers' direct infringement of one or more claims of the '819 Patent.
- 44. Upon information and belief, Rexam and Rexam Beauty participated in the Luxe Pack trade show on May 19th and 20th, 2010 in New York, New York, further offering for sale Rexam Infringing Pumps with invisible dip tubes, further inducing Rexam Customers' direct infringement of one or more claims of the '819 Patent.
- 45. Accordingly, Rexam and Rexam Beauty induce infringement and contribute to the infringement of the '819 Patent in this District and elsewhere, and such infringement is willful.

COUNT III Infringement of United States Patent No. 7,718,132 by Valois and Valois of America

- 46. Paragraphs 1 through 45 are incorporated by reference as if fully restated herein.
- 47. Valois and Valois of America infringe the '132 Patent, directly and/or indirectly, in this District and elsewhere.
- 48. Upon information and belief, Valois of America is Valois' corporate affiliate and operates under Valois' oversight and control, and Valois and Valois of America together and separately are in the business of making and/or selling consumer packaging, including closures for perfume bottles.

- 49. Upon information and belief, Valois and Valois of America market and make numerous pump products, including but not limited to the "Elixir" pump systems, that satisfy each and every limitation of one or more claims of the '132 Patent ("the Valois Infringing Pumps").
- 50. Upon information and belief, Valois and Valois of America, use, sell, offer to sell and import the Valois Infringing Pumps in the United States via online advertising and direct contact with customers in the United States.
- 51. Upon information and belief, Valois and Valois of America sell and offer to sell the Valois Infringing Pumps to numerous customers ("the Valois Customers") that incorporate the Valois Infringing Pumps into fragrance products ("the Valois Infringing Fragrance Products") that are offered for sale at multiple retail locations in Richmond and McLean, Virginia. Non-limiting examples of Valois Infringing Fragrance Products include "Eau Mega by Viktor & Rolf" and "Idylle by Guerlain." The Valois Customers' manufacture, use, sale, and offers to sell the Valois Infringing Fragrance Products infringe one or more claims of the '132 Patent.
- 52. Upon information and belief, the Valois Infringing Pumps are especially made and adapted for use in the Valois Infringing Fragrance Products, and are not staple articles of commodities of commerce suitable for substantial noninfringing use, and Valois and Valois of America are knowledgeable of this fact.
- 53. On June 8, 2007, a representative of Valois mailed a letter to Plaintiffs indicating its awareness of the application that issued as the '132 Patent. Accordingly, upon information and belief, Valois and Valois of America are knowledgeable of the '132 Patent.

- 54. Upon information and belief, since June 8, 2007 Valois and Valois of America have advised the Valois Customers that Valois and Valois of America would indemnify them if it was determined that they were infringing the '132 patent. Based upon this information and belief, Valois and Valois of America acted with the specific intent to induce Valois Customers' direct infringement of one or more claims of the '132 Patent.
- 55. Upon information and belief, Valois and Valois of America participated in the Luxe Pack trade show on May 19th and 20th, 2010 in New York, New York, further offering for sale Valois Infringing Pumps with invisible dip tubes, further inducing Valois Customers' direct infringement of one or more claims of the '132 Patent.
- 56. Accordingly, Valois and Valois of America directly infringe, induce infringement and contribute to the infringement of the '132 Patent in this District and elsewhere, and such infringement is willful.

COUNT IV Infringement of United States Patent No. 7,722,819 by Valois and Valois of America

- 57. Paragraphs 1 through 57 are incorporated by reference as if fully restated herein.
- 58. Valois and Valois of America indirectly infringe the '819 Patent in this District and elsewhere.
- 59. Upon information and belief, Valois of America is Valois' corporate affiliate and operates under Valois' oversight and control, and Valois and Valois of America together and separately are in the business of making and/or selling consumer packaging, including closures for perfume bottles.
- 60. Upon information and belief, Valois and Valois of America market and make numerous pump products, including but not limited to the "Elixir" pump systems ("the Valois Infringing Pumps").

- 61. Upon information and belief, Valois and Valois of America, use, sell, offer to sell and import the Valois Infringing Pumps in the United States via online advertising and direct contact with customers in the United States.
- 62. Upon information and belief, Valois and Valois of America sell and offer to sell the Valois Infringing Pumps to numerous customers ("the Valois Customers") that incorporate the Valois Infringing Pumps into fragrance products ("the Valois Infringing Fragrance Products") that are offered for sale at multiple retail locations in Richmond and McLean, Virginia. Non-limiting examples of Valois Infringing Fragrance Products include "Eau Mega by Viktor & Rolf" and "Idylle by Guerlain." The Valois Customers' manufacture, use, sale, and offers to sell the Valois Infringing Fragrance Products infringe one or more claims of the '819 Patent.
- 63. Upon information and belief, the Valois Infringing Pumps are especially made and adapted for use in the Valois Infringing Fragrance Products, and are not staple articles of commodities of commerce suitable for substantial noninfringing use, and Valois and Valois of America are knowledgeable of this fact.
- 64. On June 8, 2007, a representative of Valois mailed a letter to Plaintiffs indicating its awareness of the application that issued as the '819 Patent. Accordingly, upon information and belief, Valois and Valois of America are knowledgeable of the '819 Patent.
- 65. Upon information and belief, since June 8, 2007 Valois and Valois of America have advised the Valois Customers that Valois and Valois of America would indemnify them if it was determined that they were infringing the '819 Patent. Based upon this

information and belief, Valois and Valois of America acted with the specific intent to induce Valois Customers' direct infringement of one or more claims of the '819 Patent.

66. Accordingly, Valois and Valois of America induce infringement and contribute to the infringement of the '819 Patent in this District and elsewhere, and such infringement is willful.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

- 67. Plaintiffs have been irreparably harmed by Defendants' infringement of their valuable patent rights, and Defendants' unauthorized, infringing use of products covered by the '132 Patent and the '819 Patent has threatened the value of this intellectual property because Defendants' conduct results in Plaintiffs' loss of their lawful patent rights to exclude others from making, using, selling, offering to sell and/or importing the patented inventions.
- 68. Defendants' disregard for Plaintiffs' property rights similarly threatens Plaintiffs' relationships with potential customers of products embodying this intellectual property. Defendants will derive a competitive advantage over Plaintiffs from using Plaintiffs' patented technology without paying compensation for such use. Accordingly, unless and until Defendants' continued acts of infringement are enjoined, Plaintiffs will suffer further irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law.
- 69. Plaintiffs are entitled to damages and remedies available to address Defendants' infringement, including but not limited to, all damages available under 35 U.S.C. § 281 *et seq.*, including injunctive relief pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 283 and damages pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 284 and 285.

- 70. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants, granting Plaintiffs the following relief:
 - a. That this Court adjudge and decree that Defendants have infringed, directly and/or indirectly, the '132 Patent and the '819 Patent;
 - b. That this Court permanently enjoin Defendants, and their parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, successors and assigns, and each of their officers, directors, employees, representatives, agents, and attorneys, and all persons within its control, from making, using, selling, offering to sell, importing, or advertising products or components that infringe directly or indirectly any of the claims of the '132 Patent and the '819 Patent, or otherwise engaging in acts of infringement of the '132 Patent and the '819 Patent, all as alleged herein;
 - c. That this Court order an accounting to determine the damages to be awarded to Plaintiffs as a result of Defendants' infringement;
 - d. That this Court, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, enter an award to Plaintiffs of such damages as it shall prove at trial against Defendants that are adequate to compensate Plaintiffs for said infringement, including Plaintiffs' lost profits, said damages to be no less than a reasonable royalty together with interest and costs;
 - e. That this Court assess pre-judgment and post-judgment interest and costs against Defendants, together with an award of such interest and costs, in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 284;
 - f. That this Court declare this case to be exceptional and direct Defendants to pay Plaintiffs' attorneys' fees incurred in connection with this lawsuit pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285;

- g. That this Court award enhanced damages and attorney's fees due to
 Defendants' willful infringement; and
- h. Grant to Plaintiffs such other, further, and different relief as may be just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

71. Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury of all matters to which it is entitled to trial by jury pursuant to FED. R. CIV. P. 38.

Dated: May 25, 2010

Respectfully Submitted,

MEADWESTVACO CORPORATION MEADWESTVACO CALMAR, INC.

By: /s/ Shelley L. Spalding

Attorneys for Plaintiffs:

Thomas G. Slater Jr. (VSB No. 05915) Shelley L. Spalding (VSB No. 47112) Hunton & Williams LLP Riverfront Plaza, East Tower 951 East Byrd Street Richmond, Virginia 23219-4074 (804) 788-8200 (804) 788-8218 Fax

Michael P.F. Phelps (VSB No. 45750) Hunton & Williams LLP 1751 Pinnacle Drive Suite 1700 McLean, VA 22102 (703)714-7472 (703)714-7410 Fax

Of Counsel:

Michael A. O'Shea Hunton & Williams LLP 1900 K Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20006-1109 (202) 419-2183 (202) 778-7434 Fax